UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,812	09/19/2005	Toshihiro Yamada	Q90169	1457
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/26/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CHRISS, JENNIFER A	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/549,812	YAMADA, TOSHIHIRO			
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JENNIFER A. CHRISS	1794			
All Participants:	Status of Application: After	er NOA			
(1) <u>JENNIFER A. CHRISS</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Nyeemah Grazier</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 25 June 2009	Time: <u>9:00</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A					
Claims discussed: N/A					
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Jennifer A Chriss/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview of 5/15/09, the Examiner indicated that the Specification should be amended to correct a typo regarding the sheath part described in Figure 3. However, upon review of the case history, the Examiner noted that the issue had already been corrected in an amendment to the Specification on 8/29/08.